



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/808,894	03/15/2001	Kazuhiro Yamaguchi	450100-03068 5274		
2099	7590 06/02/2004		EXAMINER		
	R LAWRENCE & HAUG	DANG, KHANH NMN			
	AVENUE- 10TH FL. C. NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2111	12	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	1 /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

					>
e e		Application No	р.	Applicant(s)	
``	0	09/808,894		YAMAGUCHI ET	AL.
Office Action	Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Khanh Dang		2111	
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication a	appears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTO THE MAILING DATE OF T - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the may - If the period for reply specified abo - If NO period for reply is specified a - Failure to reply within the set or extended and the company reply received by the Office late the company reply received by the Office late armed patent term adjustment. See	"HIS COMMUNICATION e under the provisions of 37 CFR ailing date of this communication. ve is less than thirty (30) days, a lip bove, the maximum statutory peri- lended period for reply will, by sta- ler than three months after the ma	N. 1.136(a). In no event, ho reply within the statutory no will apply and will expirence the application.	wever, may a reply be tin ninimum of thirty (30) day e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on 01	April 2004.			
2a) This action is FINAL	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	his action is non-fi	nal.		
3) Since this application	n is in condition for allow	wance except for f	ormal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance	e with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle	1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 21-2</u>	g is/are pending in the a	pplication.			
	m(s) is/are withd	• •	eration.		
5) Claim(s) is/ar	e allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 21-29</u> is/	are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/ar	e objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are s	subject to restriction and	d/or election requir	ement.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is o	bjected to by the Exami	iner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed (on is/are: a) 🔲 a	ccepted or b) O	bjected to by the l	Examiner.	
Applicant may not requ	est that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing	sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if t	he drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration	on is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the	e attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9				
12) Acknowledgment is n a) All b) Some *		gn priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copie	s of the priority docume	ents have been red	eived.		
2. Certified copie	s of the priority docume	ents have been red	eived in Applicati	on No	
3. Copies of the	certified copies of the p	riority documents I	nave been receive	d in this National	Stage
application fro	m the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).		
* See the attached deta	iled Office action for a li	ist of the certified of	copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)	0.000		7		
 Notice of References Cited (PT) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 	•	4) L	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) [6) [Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTC)-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office	200			D. ()	/A-115 /
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office	Action Summary		Part of Paper No.	./Mail Date 12

Art Unit: 2111

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because boxes shown in Figs. 1-4 have not been all labeled. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the newly added term "active antenna" to claim 1. The term antenna described in the specification is inherently an active antenna, since it requires power to operate. However, proper antecedent basis for the term "active antenna" must be provided in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2111

Claims 1, 21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skarda et al.

Skarda et al. discloses a broadcasting receiver having a standby state and a normal state of power supply, comprising: an antenna device (antenna in Skarda et al.) for receiving broadcast signals having a frequency associated therewith (as in any satellite antenna, the antenna of Skarda et al., connected directly to the GPS receiver through hardware connectors, is an external antenna for receiving broadcast signals having a frequency associated therewith); a slot (PCMCIA GPS Card slot for receiving a peripheral device such as a GPS having an antenna, for example) for inserting a storage medium on which subscription information for receiving a broadcast is recorded (any commercial GPS always includes a storage medium on which subscription info for receiving a GPS broadcast is recorded); a sub-unit including a number of circuits for processing the signals and subscription information (it is inherent that the GPS receiver of Skadar et al. must including signal processing circuits for processing the received signals and subscription information); a detecting means (sensing means in Skarda et al.) for detecting presence or absence of said storage medium inserted in said slot; and a control means (power conversion means in Skarda et al.) for controlling power supply to the GPS receiver having an external antenna connected thereto, and to the number of circuits of the sub-unit; wherein when the broadcasting receiver is in the standby state and the power conversion means of Skarda et al. does not detect insertion of the storage medium, the power conversion means stops power supply to the GPS receiver having the external antenna connected, and resumes power supply to the GPS receiver

Art Unit: 2111

having the external antenna connected thereto, and to the number of circuits of the subunit when the broadcasting receiver is in the normal state and the detecting means
(sensing means in Skarda et al.) detects insertion of the storage medium. With regard to
claims 25 and 26, it is clear that the user info and subscription are supplied when the
GPS is inserted into the slot provided by the PCMCIA, and are processed by a user
information processing circuit for processing the subscription information so that the
user can view a content or program.

Skadar et al. does not disclose whether the external GPS antenna is a passive antenna or an active antenna comprising a converter circuit. It is well-known that there are only two types of GPS antenna, namely passive antenna and active antenna. The passive antenna does not require power to operate whereas the active antenna requires power of about 5V at the antenna connector of the GPS receiver to power the converter/amplifier circuits, and is usually used as external antenna. For more information on the passive and active antennas, a Google search on the subject may be helpful.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select an active type for the external antenna of Skadar et al. for the purpose of providing better, satisfactory, and more reliable signal reception to the GPS receiver of Skadar et al., since the Examiner takes Official Notice that both passive and active GPS antennas are old and well-known in the art, and particularly active antenna is widely known for its use as an external antenna; and selecting an active antenna for the purpose of providing the GPS receiver of Skadar et al. with better.

Art Unit: 2111

satisfactory, and more reliable signal reception only involves routine skill in the art. Note that when an active antenna is selected for Skadar et al., it is clear that the active antenna of Skadar et al., as any conventional GPS active antenna, requires power to operate and the power conversion means of Skadar et al. must supply power the antenna trough the GPS receiver, since the antenna is directly coupled to the antenna terminal of the GPS receiver through hardware connector (see Skadar et al.) to receive power from the Skadar et al.'s GPA receiver. It is also clear that the power controller of Skadar et al. stops power supply to the GPS receiver and in effect, to the antenna and to the number of circuits of the sub-unit, since the active antenna of Skadar et al. is directly connected to the GPS receiver through hardware connectors; and resumes power supply to the GPS receiver and in effect, to the antenna and to the number of circuits of the sub-unit when the broadcasting receiver is in the normal state and the detecting means (sensing means in Skarda et al.) detects insertion of the storage medium. With regard to claims 27 and 28, it is inherent that the selected GPS external active antenna of Skadar et al. comprises a low noise frequency converter and amplifier, since all GPS active antennas, by design, must include a low noise frequency converter and amplifier. Again, for more information on the passive and active antennas, a Google search on the subject may be helpful. If Applicants still choose to properly challenge the fact that there are only two types of GPS antenna, namely passive and active antenna, and that passive and active antenna are old and wellknown, supportive document(s) will be provided upon request.

Art Unit: 2111

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed 4/22/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

At the outset, Applicants are reminded that claims subject to examination will be given their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification. *In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55 (Fed. Cir. 1997)*. In fact, the "examiner has the duty of police claim language by giving it the broadest reasonable interpretation." *Springs Window Fashions LP v. Novo Industries, L.P.,* 65 USPQ2d 1862, 1830, (Fed. Cir. 2003). Applicants are also reminded that claimed subject matter not the specification, is the measure of the invention. Disclosure contained in the specification cannot be read into the claims for the purpose of avoiding the prior art. *In re Sporck*, 55 CCPA 743, 386 F.2d, 155 USPQ 687 (1986).

With this in mind, the discussion will focus on how the terms and relationships thereof in the claims are met by the references. Response to any limitations that are not in the claims or any arguments that are irrelevant and/or do not relate to any specific claim language will not be warranted.

The Skadar et al. 103(a) Rejection:

With regard to claims 1, Applicant argues that Skadar does not disclose "active antenna" and "said control means stops power supply to the "active antenna device" when the receiver is in standby state and the detecting means does not detect insertion

Art Unit: 2111

of said storage medium. In response to Applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In any event, it is clear that when an active antenna is provided to Skadar et al., it would, as any conventional GPS active antenna, by design, requires power to operate; and the "control means" or power conversion means of Skadar et al. must supply power the antenna trough the GPS receiver. Without power, the GPS active antenna of Skadar simply will not be able to operate. A conventional active antenna requires power of about 5V at the antenna connector of the GPS receiver to power the converter/amplifier circuits of the antenna. It is also clear that when the broadcasting receiver of Skadar et al. is in a standby state and the power conversion means of Skarda et al. does not detect insertion of the storage medium, the power conversion means stops power supply to the GPS receiver and in effect, to the antenna, since the active antenna of Skadar et al. is directly connected to the GPS receiver through hardware connectors to receive power from the GPS receiver, and to the number of circuits of the sub-unit. Applicant also argues regarding the Official Notice that the "Examiner fail to cite a reference or references." At the outset, MPEP 2144.03 clearly states that "it might not be unreasonable to take official notice of the fact that it is desirable to make something faster, cheaper, better, or stronger without the specific support of documentary evidence." Further, MPEP 2144.03(c) also clearly states that in order to "adequately traverse such a finding, an applicant must specifically point out the

Art Unit: 2111

supposed errors in the examiner's action, which would include stating why the noticed fact is not considered to be common knowledge or well-known in the art. See 37 CFR 1.111(b). See also Chevenard, 139 F.2d at 713, 60 USPQ ... A general allegation that the claims define patentable invention without any reference to the examiner's assertion of official notice would be inadequate." Thus, in light of the MPEP 2144.03, it is clear that a mere allegation or a bald statement such as, the "Examiner fails to cite a reference or references" is not adequate and does not shift the burden to the Examiner to provide evidence in support of the Official Notice. Allowing such a statement to challenge Official Notice would effectively destroy any incentive on the part of the Examiner to use it in the process of establishing a rejection of notoriously well-known facts. In the instant case, as noted above, Applicant has not provided any adequate information or argument so that on its face it creates a reasonable doubt regarding the circumstances justifying the Official Notice. Therefore, a presentation of a reference/document to substantiate the Official Notice is not deemed necessary. The Examiner's taking of Official Notice has been maintained. In any event, contrary to Applicant's argument, the Examiner clearly stated in the previous Office Action that "[flor more information on the passive and active antennas, a Google search on the subject may be helpful." Applicant also argues that the Examiner's 103 rejection lacks motivation or "desirability" citing "In re Fritch" for support. Contrary to Applicant's argument, in the previous Office Action, the 103 rejection clearly stated that "selecting an active antenna for the purpose of providing the GPS receiver of Skadar et al. with better, satisfactory, and more reliable signal reception."

Art Unit: 2111

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Khanh Dang at telephone number 703-308-0211.

Wals Domes

Khanh Dang Primary Examiner